


## **REMARKS**

The Amendment After Final filed on January 12, 2010 was not previously entered and is being submitted on a preliminary basis for the RCE. With regard to the Examiner's comment in the Advisory Action, that "EPG data is broadcast just like programs and is therefore equivalent", EPG information can be navigated (as it is typically in the form of a table or database). Thus, the EPG of the server can be navigated by the client without interrupting viewing of the TV connected to the service. If the Examiner's reasoning is that Cooper (which shows transfer of program from PVR to mobile device) is equivalent but the difference is that the mobile device in Cooper is not navigating information, it (in Cooper) is on the file in the mobile device, not the EPG on the server.

No fees or extensions of time are believed to be due in connection with this amendment; however, consider this a request for any extension inadvertently omitted, and charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 26-0084.

Reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



EDMUND J. SEASE, Reg. No. 24,741  
McKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.  
801 Grand Avenue, Suite 3200  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-2721  
Phone No: (515) 288-3667  
Fax No: (515) 288-1338  
**CUSTOMER NO: 22885**

Attorneys of Record

- bjh -